Autoencdoer & matrix completion

Lecture 21

Changho Suh

October 6, 2021

Outline

1. Figure out what matrix completion (MC) is.

2. Explore a connection to fusion learning.

3. Investigate a variant of autoencoder that plays a significant role for matrix completion:

Denoising autoencoder

4. Study one recent MC techinique which leverages the denoising autoencoder.

Matrix completion



One killer application: Recommender system



The key property that MC exploits

Low rank structure of many interested matrices

Example:

1	2	*
*	*	3
*	2	3

$$rank = 1$$

1	2	3
1	2	3
1	2	3

Connection to fusion learning?

Fusion learning: A learning methodology that exploits different types of input simultaneously



A challenge in fusion learning



Often: We have missing data!

 \rightarrow Small # of examples available for all types of data

One natural way to address the challenge

Estimate missing entries!

One promeninent way to do this:

Matrix completion

Methods for matrix completion

One recent method is via autoencoder.

More specifically, it is based on a variant of autoencoder:

Denoising autoencoder (DAE)

Denoising autoencoder (DAE)



The motivation behind DAE has nothing to do with matrix completion.

Instead it is inspired by the key role of autoencoder: Dimensionality reduction!

Motivation: Denoising autoencoder



What we want: Internal features well capture key patterns of the input.

One way to encourage this is to make the network robust against noise.

Denoising autoencoder



Typically noise is applied to the input.

There are two types depending on the noise pattern.

Type I: Additive Gaussian noise



Type II: Random masking



Type II: Random masking

inpu	t				output	
	random masking					

Turns out: Gives an inspiration to matrix completion

Connection to matrix completion



Take each example with missing entries as input to AE.

Consider output $\hat{x}^{(i)}$ as the fully-populated version.

Expect: Missing entries would be well reconstructed thanks to good performance of DAE.



$$\min_{W^{[1]},...,W^{[6]}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{j:(i,j) \in \Omega \\ \swarrow}} (x_j^{(i)} - \hat{x}_j^{(i)})^2$$
set of pair indices for observed entries



Instead: Can employ the **standard** method w/ or w/o tying weights.

What is next?

Recall: Autoencoder can serve as a generative model.

There is a more powerful generative model based on:

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Prior to GANs, a classical method was often employed:

Restricted Boltzmann Machines (**RBMs**)

Look ahead

Will study:

1. Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

2. Restricted Boltzmann Machines (**RBMs**)